

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/840,031 04/24/2001		04/24/2001	Hitoshi Matsui	043034/0168	4261
22428	7590	06/17/2005	*	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER				PHAN, TAM T	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2144		

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action								
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief								

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/840,031	MATSUI, HITOSHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
Tam (Jenny) Phan	2144	

Advisory Action	09/840,031 MATSUI, HITOSHI		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tam (Jenny) Phan	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in s	or GFR 41.37(a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause .
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	iter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	·		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3,5-7,9,10,12 and 13.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	nt he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Refer to the Attached "Response to Arguments" documents.		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. ☑ Other: <u>PTO-892</u> .	MA	RC D. THOMP	SON,
	٨٨٨	IRC THOURS	SOL .
	/•V`	MARY EXAMI	WE D
	rki	IMAKI TARDI	REK

Art Unit: 2144

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that there is nothing in Fujita that teaches or suggests the use of directionality in his transmitting and receiving antenna and that one of ordinary skills in the art would understand that Fujita's system uses omnidiretional antennas, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. By definition, omnidirectional antenna can transmit or receive signals in all directions and directional antenna can transmit or receive signals in one direction. Accordingly, the Examiner asserts that Fujita taught both the use of directional antennas and omnidirational antennas. For example, Fujita disclosed, "A transmitter/receiver tower or wall 3 is installed at a front end section 102 of the respective parking space 1 so that the front end of the passenger car 40 faces the transmitter/receiver tower 3 when parked in the parking space" (column 3 lines 21-24). Fujita further disclosed, "The drive-in media playing facility includes at least one drive-in media playing system that is associated with each one of the parking spaces 1. The drive-in media playing system provides various interactive media that can be selected by users (customers). The interactive media includes, for example, an interactive game, an interactive presentation or a game on the Internet, a karaoke performance and the like. Users (customers) in a car operate a wireless controller/command device 112 to control various interactive media provided by the drive-in media playing system" (column 9 lines 46-56). Thus, the antennas disclosed in Fujita are used directionally to communicate signals with the users occupy the single parked vehicle. In an alternative embodiment, Fujita disclosed "the drive-in karaoke facility 100 may be arranged so that the same karaoke performance can be shared simultaneously by users in different cars parked in corresponding different parking spaces. In this instance, the singing voice of one of the users picked up by the wireless microphone 11 is

Application/Control Number: 09/840,031

Art Unit: 2144

Page 3

arranged so that the singing voice can be commonly heard by the other users in each of the cars" (column 6 line 64-column 7 lines 4). Thus, Fujita disclosed several embodiments that utilize directional antennas in one embodiment and omnidirectional antennas in another alternative embodiment for his drive-in media system.

In response to applicant's argument that "the common definition of biometrics data is something that relates directly to a biological aspect of a person", the Examiner recognized the common definition, however, in computer technology, the definition of biometrics is defined as "Traditionally, the science of measuring an analyzing human biological characteristics. In computer technology, biometrics relates to authentication and security techniques that rely on measure, individual biological stamps to recognize or verify and individual identity. Security schemes are generally categorized into three levels: level 1 relies on something the person carries, such as an ID badge with a photo or computer cardkey; level 2 relies on something the person knows such as password or a code number; and level 3, the highest level, relies on something that is party of the person's biological makeup or behavior" (Refer to Microsoft Computer Dictionary 4th Edition, published 1999). Since the Fujita and Kolls references as well as the applicant's invention are in the computer technology field, the use of the definition of biometrics in computer technology as defined in the Microsoft Computer Dictionary is valid. In addition, since the Microsoft Computer Dictionary was published in 1999, it is obvious that the definition was developed prior to the invention date of the instant application.

> MARC D. THOMPSON PRIMARY EXAMINER